

**WSPER Joint Working Group & Technical Advisory Group (TAG)**

**Meeting Agenda *DRAFT* v.4-5-22**

**Date:** April 12, 2022

9:30 a.m. – 12:30 p.m.

**Zoom Link:** <https://us06web.zoom.us/j/83065657811?pwd=Nk5Hc0xWSERMOUsxVGpWd0dHSTJtQT09>

**Meeting ID:** 830 6565 7811     **Passcode:** 436427     **Mobile:** +12532158782,,83065657811#,,,,\*436427#

**Objectives:**

- Discuss salmon recovery projects, schedule, and scoring
- Discuss Action Agenda involvement opportunities, LIO capacity funding, and \$500K EPA/NEP
- Hear local and regional updates

Time	Topic	Lead	Materials/Resources
9:30	<b>Welcome, Introductions, Housekeeping</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Introductions</li> <li>• Review agenda and objectives</li> <li>• Review draft meeting notes for Feb Working Group and March TAG</li> <li>• Updated meeting schedule</li> <li>• General request for input on WSPER team support – do our partners need anything we are not providing?</li> </ul>	Zack Holt	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Draft Agenda</li> <li>• <a href="#">Draft February 8 WG Meeting notes</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Draft March 22 TAG Meeting notes</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Proposed WSPER 2022 Grant Round Updated Schedule</a></li> </ul>
10:00	<b>Lead Entity</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consider approving letter of support for City of Port Orchard Project</li> <li>• Discuss proposed project list and scoring criteria</li> <li>• Consider submitting PSAR Large Cap</li> <li>• Request from PSP PSAR Manager – are there any expected project cost increases?</li> <li>• Legacy projects - what is the status of those and where do they fit in our ecosystem recovery portfolio?</li> <li>• Discuss Planned Project forecast List 2023-24, due June 1</li> </ul>	Zack  Sarah H  Renee & Kathy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">WSPER 2022 Proposed Project List</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">2022 WSPER Project Scoring Criteria</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">PPFL Frequently Asked Questions</a></li> </ul>
10:45	<b>Break</b>		
11:00	<b>LIO</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Action Agenda update &amp; feedback</li> <li>• Local Forum Report-out</li> </ul>	Renee, Kathy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Draft 2022-2026 Action Agenda</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Action Agenda Public Comment Opportunity</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Learn more about the Action Agenda</a></li> </ul>



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LIO Capacity Funding &amp; \$500k EPA/NEP             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Discuss and develop priorities</li> </ul> </li> <li>• How do we partner with neighboring LIOs (South Sound &amp; Hood Canal) to leverage strategic initiative implementation?</li> <li>• Ecosystem Recovery Plan update</li> </ul>	Renee, Kathy, Annalise	
11:45	<b>Waterman Mitigation Partners Discussion &amp; Request for Questions</b>	All	
12:00	<b>Round Table Updates</b>	All	
12:20	<b>Wrap-up, Adjourn, Public Comment</b>	Triangle	



**Working Group Meeting**  
 9:30 AM – 12:00 PM | February 8, 2022 | Remote Meeting  
 Meeting Summary DRAFT v.  
 February 15, 2022

Action Item	Responsible Party
Contact Renee if interested in seeing the TAG field visit list.	Working Group members
Work with 2018 Near Term Action (NTA) owners to identify potential projects for the option to use \$100,000 NEP funding between 2022-2024.	WSPER Coordination Team
Review the Near Term Action (NTA) report card and let Coordination team know of any updates.	NTA owners
Share out information regarding the NTA \$100,000 option and guidance for \$500,000 NEP Geographic funding once available (after Monday February 14).	PSP/WSPER Coordination Team
<i>(Optional)</i> Provide close partner feedback to Puget Sound Partnership on draft actions by February 9 – <a href="#">Link to information</a>   <a href="#">Link to submission form</a>	Working Group members
Share Kitsap County Conservation Futures pilot program data summary report for feedback.	Kirvie Mesebeluu-Yobech
Contact Ahren Stroming about potential projects that qualify for the Nearshore Credits Program funding as well as any follow up questions. Email: <a href="mailto:ahren.stroming@psp.wa.gov">ahren.stroming@psp.wa.gov</a>   <a href="#">Link to information</a>	Working Group members
Contact Renee if interested in attending WSPER subgroup meetings regarding fish barrier prioritization.	Working Group members

**Introduction and WSPER Business**

Zack Holt, City of Port Orchard/Working Group Chair, welcomed participants to the meeting and led introductions (see page 5 for participant list).

The group briefly discussed the December 14, 2021, Working Group meeting notes. No edits were suggested.

Renee Johnson, Kitsap County/WSPER Coordinator, gave an overview of the meeting agenda.

The group welcomed Sarah Heerthartz, Mid Sound Fisheries Enhancement Group, as the new Chair of the WSPER Technical Advisory Group (TAG) and approved a motion for Zack Holt to remain the Working Group Chair for 2022.

## **Lead Entity Salmon Recovery Updates**

---

Renee Johnson gave an update on the 2022 Salmon Recovery Grant Ground Schedule. At its January 18 meeting, the TAG approved 24 project proposals to move forward to the full application process. The TAG will then be responsible for making decisions about how to prioritize and distribute \$1.2M to the \$30M worth of grant requests. Renee shared the comprehensive project list, highlighting that only three of the Large Capital projects could potentially move forward.

In response to a question about sponsor flexibility for projects seeking Salmon Recovery Funding Board (SRFB) or Puget Sound Acquisition and Restoration (PSAR) funds, it was noted that the application is the same for both funds; the only difference being that assessments only qualify for PSAR funds. The [TAG meeting packet](#) is available for further information on the process.

**Action item:** WSPER members can contact Renee if interested in seeing the TAG field visit list.

## **Local Integrating Organization (LIO) Discussion Topics**

---

### **Option to Fund 2018-2022 NTA:**

Kirvie Mesebeluu-Yobech, Kitsap County, shared that at the February 2, 2022, LIO Coordinators meeting, an option was given for WSPER to decide if they want to fund a 2018 Near Term Action (NTA) with \$100,000. The Strategic Initiative Leads (SILs) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) have indicated that \$500,000 will be made available as a lump sum to each LIO over the next 5 years to implement local priorities. The optional \$100,000 would come out of this sum. The two options are to:

1. Fund a 2018-2022 NTA with \$100,000 (Deadline to submit is March 15, 2022, to have a contract in place by June 9 and be completed by 2024)
2. Hold full \$500,000 to use over five years

Official guidance for the funding is expected to be available February 14th, but initial guidance suggests the \$500,000 can be used to fund non-capital work (i.e., planning, barrier removal, project development, funding strategy, assessment type projects), and cannot be used to fund capital projects.

The WSPER Coordination Team updated the NTA Report Card based on the Action Agenda Tracker on the Puget Sound Partnership website, but NTA owners are encouraged to review funding secured and inform the WSPER Coordination Team if there are any edits.

**Action item:** NTA owners will review NTA report card and let Coordination team know of any updates.

The Working Group discussed whether to use \$100k to fund 2018-2022 NTA or wait for total \$500k to use over 5 years (implementing 2022-2026 Action Agenda). Highlights of the discussion are listed below.

- It was noted the \$500,000 cannot be used for capital projects like culvert removal and “dirt moving action” per EPA funding guidance, but further details will be provided on February 14th.
- It was discussed if selecting option 1, the \$400,00 remaining of the \$500,000 would not be eligible for capital projects and the Ecosystem Recovery Plan has been used over the last several years to prioritize and identify NTA needs but further discussion is needed to understand current needs.

- In response to a question if the \$500,000 would become available immediately or accumulate over the next 5 years, it was noted the \$500,000 would be a lump sum, but details for when and how are still being discussed.
- A clarification was made the NTA's are not prioritized on the Report Card, but the projects are reviewed, scored, and prioritized at the Working Group level and then approved by the TAG. The top three projects are submitted for funding.
- The group identified a need for more information about the status of 2018-2022 NTAs in order to make a recommendation. A suggestion was made to create a version of the Report Card that removes fully funded NTAs and highlights capital projects. NTA owners will need to update the Report Card to answer whether there are capital projects that could use the funding.
- There was a suggestion to fulfill agricultural project needs with the \$100,000 NTA funding.
- There was a discussion about the need clarity on what qualifies for capital vs. non-capital.

**Action item:** PSP and the WSPER Coordination Team will share out information regarding the NTA \$100,000 option and guidance for \$500,000 NEP Geographic funding once available (after Monday February 14).

The Working Group will need to inform the Executive Committee at their March 3 meeting of the desired process if wanting to move forward with \$100,000 option. The group agreed to wait until official funding guidance is released on Monday, February 14th to make a decision on the \$100,000 to allow more time to prioritize which projects to move forward to the Executive Committee.

The WSPER Coordination Team will share guidance information with the Working Group as soon as it is available and will outline a path for the selected option. A decision will need to be made by February 23rd at the latest.

#### **Puget Sound Partnership Action Agenda Update:**

Becky Hollender, Puget Sound Partnership, shared that the Partnership Action Agenda is currently in Phase 4 waiting for approval from the Leadership Council on the first draft of the Actions list. Then the draft will enter a full review by the Board with the potential to be adopted in June 2022. 1000 individual pieces of feedback were integrated to the key actions and opportunities. Feedback from LIO partners is welcome until February 9th close of business (to provide feedback [visit the link here](#)).

**Action Item (Optional):** WSPER members can provide feedback to PSP on draft actions (extended comment period) by Feb 9 at this [link](#).

#### **Kitsap County Conservation Futures Tax Pilot Program**

---

Kirvie Mesebeluu-Yobech, Kitsap County, shared there is a report for review by the Working Group to bring to the commissioners about the pilot program for identifying how to use a tax revenue stream for conservation projects. [More information is available on the County's website](#). The group briefly discussed the program:

- A request for flexibility in response time was made.
- Pierce County is one of the case studies included in the draft report.
- A comment was made commissioners will wait to make any decisions until after the report is submitted and presented to them.

## Puget Sound Partnership Nearshore Credits Program

---

Ahren Stroming, Puget Sound Partnership, gave a presentation on the Partnership Nearshore Credits program which is now live and a potential source of funding for nearshore restoration projects. To learn more about potential funding opportunities, [visit the website](#) or contact Ahren Stroming at [ahren.stroming@psp.wa.gov](mailto:ahren.stroming@psp.wa.gov). Highlights of the discussion are listed below.

- It was clarified that NOAA intends the funding to apply to new projects as well as repair and maintenance projects. The Puget Sound Nearshore Conservation Calculator will highlight new impacts more strongly than an existing project.
- It was clarified that NOAA is focusing on creosote piling removal because it is distinguishable from other parts of the project. There may be limitations on mixing mitigation dollars, but the program will strive to address this by differentiating credit dollars from grant funding. NOAA anticipates sufficient demand for these credits and will do initial calculations to provide estimates by service areas.
- The group discussed the need to select projects closer to the impact site and to address multiple projects in one service area.
- It was noted that NOAA has agreed to provide credits to the original 39 projects in batches and plans to batch more buckets of projects following that original list.
- The group discussed the effectiveness of a monitoring plan to measure the program's benefits to the ecosystem.
- It was noted that if there is a permanent credit implemented to rebuild a bulkhead or pier at a conservation site with a long-term restoration agreement it would need to be run through the Puget Sound Nearshore Conservation Calculator to measure impacts.
- Participants expressed concerns with calculating long-term ecological impacts of the Habitat Equivalency Analysis (HEA) considering the diverse range of species in Puget Sound and suggested engaging with Tribal entities and the Northwest Fisheries Science Center.

**Action item:** WSPER members are invited to contact Ahren Stroming ([ahren.stroming@psp.wa.gov](mailto:ahren.stroming@psp.wa.gov)) about potential projects that qualify for the Nearshore Credits Program funding as well as any follow up questions.

## WSPER Updates

---

**Grant Opportunities for 2022:** Renee Johnson gave high-level updates, noting that more information would be shared via email.

- **Executive Committee 2022 & focus topics:** There are four WSPER Executive Committee meetings scheduled in 2022. Topics include the Salmon Recovery Grant Round list of projects, Action Agenda updates, and follow up on Ecosystem Recovery Board (ECB) topics.
- **The Estuary and Salmon Restoration Program's (ESRP) Small Grants Program** is extending its pre-proposal deadline to Wednesday, February 9th at 11:59 PM.
- **[National Coastal Wetlands Conservation Grant Program](#):** The Department of Ecology seeks to partner with Tribes, cities, counties, land trusts, and other state and federal agencies in efforts to acquire, restore, and enhance wetlands of U.S. coastal states and trust territories.

## **Roundtable Updates**

---

WSPER members and guests provided the following updates.

- The Key Peninsula-Gig-Harbor-Islands (KGI) Watershed Council and Greater Gig Harbor Foundation is opening up applications for small grants within KGI watershed (i.e., South of Long Lake and \$2,500 max.).
- South Puget Sound Salmon Enhancement Group (SPSSEG) is going to partner with WDFW for the McNeil Island Project.
- The Bainbridge Island Land Trust held a meeting on January 19 with 11 different organizations to discuss a Watershed Initiative surrounding Springbrook Creek and is actively recruiting partners and landowners.
- The Port of Illahee is in the process of purchasing a wetland property.
- The window to submit Backyard Habitat Grant applications recently closed, several landowners have expressed interest in restoration work at Curly Creek and Dogfish Creek. The budget for this work is \$150,000 and will likely cover 1-2 projects.

## **Meeting Wrap-Up**

---

The facilitator reviewed the identified action items and Zack Holt thanked all for participating in the meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 12:10 p.m.

---

## **Attendees** (alphabetical by first name)

Ahren Stroming, Puget Sound Partnership

Alexia Henderson, WDFW

Aislin Gallagher, Kitsap County Public Works Stormwater

Barbara Ann Smolko, Pierce County

Brenda Padgham, Bainbridge Island Land Trust

Bri Ellis, City of Gig Harbor

Carin Anderson, Kitsap Conservation District

Christian Berg, City of Bainbridge Island

Christina Kereki, Kitsap County

Chris Waldbillig, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

Erik Steffans, Great Peninsula Conservancy

Jamie Glasgow, Wild Fish Conservancy

Jim Aho, Port of Illahee

Joy Garitone, Kitsap Conservation District

Kathy Peters, Kitsap County

Kay Caromile, Washington Recreation and Conservation Office

Kirvie Mesebeluu-Yobech, Kitsap County Dept. of Community Development

Mary Krauszer, Pierce Conservation District

Marty Ereth, Pierce County

Matthew Medina, Kitsap County

Melissa Fleming, Stillwater Environmental Center

Rachel Bowen, City of Poulsbo

Rebecca Hollender, Puget Sound Partnership

Renee Johnson, Kitsap County/WSPER Coordinator

Renee Scherdnik, Kitsap County

Sarah Heerhartz, Mid Sound Fisheries Enhancement Group

Sarah Wilson, City of Bremerton

Steve Todd, Suquamish Tribe

Tom Ostrom, Suquamish Tribe

Zack Holt, City of Port Orchard and Working Group Chair

Annalise Ritter, Triangle Associates

Olivia Smith, Triangle Associates





**Salmon Technical Advisory Group**  
**DRAFT Meeting Summary**  
 March 22, 2022 | 9:30am – 12:30pm  
 Zoom Meeting

**Meeting Objective:** Review projects and provide comments to project sponsors. Identify TAG members to score projects.

Action Items	Responsible
Revise WSPER salmon grant round schedule	Renee Johnson and Sarah Heerhartz
Invite mitigation company to present to WSPER	WSPER Coordination Team
Provide brief scope and timeline changes on Curley Creek Extension to the TAG	Sarah Heerhartz
Provide new copy of Henderson Bay Armor Removal Design:	
Update TAG member list on WSPER website	WSPER Coordination Team (Completed)
Correct site visit date in January TAG meeting notes	WSPER Coordination Team (Completed)
Reschedule May 10 TAG meeting	WSPER Coordination Team (Completed)
Explore WSPER newsletter formats for internal WSPER communications	WSPER Coordination Team
Provide revised project list with dollar amounts to use during project scoring	Renee Johnson

### Decisions by TAG

Approve January 18, 2022 TAG meeting notes.
---

### Welcome and Announcements

Sarah Heerhartz, Mid Sound Fisheries Enhancement Group and TAG Chair, welcomed the group, led a roll call, and gave an overview of the meeting agenda and objectives.

The TAG approved the January 18 Meeting notes once the March 17 site visit meeting date had been corrected.

### Salmon Recovery Grant Round Site Visits Follow Up

Renee Johnson, Kitsap County and WSPER Coordinator, led a discussion on follow up from the project site visits, identification TAG members to score projects, and the criteria the TAG will use to score projects.

TAG members reviewed the project proposals for consideration and confirmed comments from the review panel will be incorporated. There was a discussion about how projects can receive partial funding and that return funds will be available if a project sponsor makes an additional request. The TAG then discussed WSPER's internal timeline for project applications and scoring, with a goal to balance time needed for the review panel to provide comments, for project sponsors to respond to comments, and for the TAG members to review the information and score projects. A proposal was made to delay the next TAG meeting, originally scheduled for May 10, to allow sufficient time for project sponsors to respond to comments.

The group then discussed the [WSPER Operating Guidelines](#) for when a conflict of interest arises that could delay the process and when there are limitations by geographic area. A suggestion was made for TAG members to document their conflict of interests in writing ahead of meetings. Alexia Henderson, WDFW, and Bri Ellis, City of Gig Harbor, were added to the [current TAG list](#).

Renee Johnson reviewed the list of recusals for project scoring. Various TAG members recused themselves. Triangle Associates will support a neutral and fair scoring process similar to that used in the 2021 grant round. It was noted the PSAR Large Capital Scoring Rubric was added to the meeting packet as a reference but does not pertain to this grant round as no large-scale projects continued to a full application process.

#### Questions and Discussion

---

- There was a brief dialogue on the prioritization of land acquisition for restoration, and a small change was made to the 2022 scoring criteria as a result.
- Participants expressed a preference to normalize scores as was done in 2021.
- It was noted that Puget Sound Acquisition and Restoration Fund (PSAR) money will not be forfeited once granted and can be returned to the Lead Entity for to future projects, and but Salmon Recovery Funding Board (SRBD) funds not spent return to the larger regional pot.

#### Local and Regional Updates

---

##### ***Coordination Team Updates***

It was noted that the following people and groups will be presenting at the April 26-28, 2022, Salish Sea Conference:

- Mid-Sound Fisheries Enhancement Group (MSFEG) will be presenting on the Salmon Friendly program.
- Deb Rudnick will be supporting a presentation on climate change with the Northwest Regional Invasive Species and Climate Change Network.
- Matthew Medina will be presenting Kitsap County Natural Resources as an Asset with Kitsap County, Tribal representatives, and Washington Environmental Council (WEC).
- Tom Ostrom will be presenting, though the presentation name has yet to be confirmed.

The group discussed the best way to share announcements for job postings, trainings, and events. King County EcoNet is a resource for WSPER members to access local announcements. TAG members expressed support for a hybrid approach of sending announcements to the WSPER Coordinator who will then share these either via a monthly WSPER email newsletter or to King County EcoNet. Individuals may sign up for the King County EcoNet listserv to receive frequent and timely updates.

A recommendation was made to extend the deadline for Curley Creek project and potentially the Salmonberry Creek project. It was noted there is a new grant manager and LIO approval is not needed unless TAG or Working Group members want to review before MSFEG submits the changes to WDFW. Steve Todd expressed interest in reviewing the scope changes.

The Puget Sound Partnership released the draft Action Agenda for public comment. Comments will be accepted March 15 – April 15. [For more information visit this link.](#)

### **Coordination with Private Mitigation Companies**

---

TAG members discussed how to coordinate restoration efforts in progress locally with mitigation efforts from large firms that operate in our region.

There was a discussion about one of the TAG members attending an upcoming Watermain Mitigation Partners meeting or inviting a Watermain Mitigation Partners representative to attend the next Working Group/TAG meeting on April 12th.

### **Roundtable Updates**

- Becky Hollander shared that Puget Sound Partnership's Puget Sound Day on the Hill is approaching. The purpose of this event is to advocate for continued support and funding.
- Sarah Heerhartz noted that an update on Point No Point was featured in the Kitsap Sun. MSFEG is planning to discuss this at an upcoming community meeting and to increase community engagement efforts.
- The National Coastal Resilience Fund for 2022 has \$140 million for creating and restoring natural systems and is accepting proposals until Thursday, April 21, 2022.

### **Wrap Up and Next Steps**

---

Renee Johnson thanked everyone for joining and reviewed the meeting action items.

The meeting adjourned at 12:34 p.m.

### **Attendees:**

Alexia Henderson, WDFW  
 Brenda Padgham, Bainbridge Island Land Trust  
 Bri Ellis, City of Gig Harbor  
 Carin Anderson, Kitsap Conservation District  
 Christina Kereki, Kitsap County  
 Erik Steffens, Great Peninsula Conservancy  
 Dave Tucker, Kitsap County Public Works  
 Deb Rudnick, Bainbridge Island Watershed Council

Jamie Glasgow, Wild Fish Conservancy  
Kirvie Mesebeluu-Yobech, Kitsap County  
Rebecca Hollender, Puget Sound Partnership  
Renee Johnson, Kitsap County / WSPER Coordinator  
Marty Ereth, Pierce County  
Mary Krauszer, Pierce Conservation District  
Sarah Heerhartz, Mid Sound Fisheries Enhancement Group  
Scott Steltzner, Squaxin Island Tribe  
Steve Todd, Suquamish Tribe  
Zack Holt, City of Port Orchard  
Annalise Ritter, Triangle Associates  
Olivia Smith, Triangle Associates

# Proposed Changes to the 2022 WSPER Salmon Recovery Grant Round

Planning	November 9	TAG meeting - Discuss approach and set call for projects
	December 1	Release call for projects
	January 10	Letters of Intent Due
	January 18	Review and screen letters of Intent at TAG meeting
	January 21	Inform sponsors of TAG determination; project numbers created in PRISM
Develop Applications	March 3	Applications due in PRISM
	March 17 & 18	Site visits with Review Panel and TAG
	March 22	TAG Meeting - Provide comments to sponsors
	March 23	<i>SRFB review panel meeting; target date for answering comments/questions from review panel and TAG</i>
	April 1	<i>SRFB Review Panel comment form released; Identifies projects as "Clear," "Conditioned," "Needs more information," or "Project of Concern"</i>
April 12, 13	<i>1-hour conference call (optional) with SRFB Panel; Discuss "Need More Information," "Project of Concern," or "Conditioned" projects</i>	
Develop Project List	April 12	Combined TAG and Working Group meeting - Discuss project updates/responses to Review Panel and TAG comments
	May 24	Working Group meeting ( <b>Change from TAG meeting to WG meeting</b> )
	June 3	Project Scores Due - TAG submits Scores to WSPER Coordinator for processing
	June 14	TAG Meeting - Develop ranked salmon habitat list ( <b>Change from Working Group meeting to TAG meeting</b> )
	June 27	Applicants submit final revised application materials in PRISM
	July 13-14	<i>SRFB Review Panel and RCO staff meet to discuss projects and complete comments</i>
	July 21	<i>Final SRFB commend received identifying projects "Clear," "Conditioned," or "Project of Concern"</i>
	July 26	Working Group meeting - Review ranked list ( <b>Change TAG Meeting to Working Group meeting</b> )
	August 8	<i>Applicants with "Conditioned" projects must indicate whether they accept condition or withdraw project</i>
	August 11	Executive Committee Meeting - Present ranked list to WSPER Executive Committee for approval
August 12	Submit final WSPER ranked list in PRISM	
Funding Decision	September 7	<i>The final funding recommendation report is available online for SRFB members and public review</i>
	Sept 21 – 22	<i>Salmon Recovery Funding Board awards grants</i>

## 2022 Proposed Project List

Project	Sponsor	Grant Request	Match	Total Cost	Fact Sheet	PRISM Number
McNeil Island Estuary Restoration - Bodley Creek & Floyds Cove	WDFW	495,250.00	1,204,750.00	\$1,700,000.00	<a href="#">McNeil Island/Floyd Cove Factsheet</a>	22-1345
Henderson Bay Armor Removal Design	Pierce Conservation District	178,000.00	0.00	\$178,000.00	<a href="#">Henderson Bay Armor Removal</a>	22-1300
North Creek/Donkey Creek Culvert Replacement at Harborview Dr. Feasibility	City of Gig Harbor	25,000.00	50,000.00	\$75,000.00	<a href="#">North/Donkey Creek Fact Sheet</a>	22-1122
Salmonberry Creek Protection	GPC	467,000.00	283,000.00	\$750,000.00	<a href="#">Salmonberry Creek Protection Factsheet</a>	22-1110
Long Lake Predation Assessment	MSFEG, Wild Fish Conservancy	75,000.00	13,500.00	\$88,500.00	<a href="#">Long Lake Predation Assessment Factsheet</a>	22-1112
Long Lake Residential Riparian Enhancement	MSFEG	65,000.00	12,000.00	\$77,000.00	<a href="#">Long Lake Residential Riparian Factsheet</a>	22-1114
Cooper Creek Culvert Restoration	City of Bainbridge Island	793,224.23	139,980.77	\$933,205.00	<a href="#">Cooper Creek Fact Sheet</a>	22-1032
Fletcher Bay Road Fish Passage Restoration	MSFEG & City of BI	500,000.00	1,000,000.00	\$1,500,000.00	<a href="#">Fletcher Bay Fact Sheet</a>	22-1121
Lower Manzanita Creek Restoration Design Project	Bainbridge Island Land Trust	35,000.00	10,000.00	\$45,000.00	<a href="#">Manzanita Fact Sheet</a>	22-1129

Barnabee Final Design and Stream Restoration Project	Bainbridge Island Land Trust	200,000.00	175,109.00	\$375,109.00	<a href="#">Barnabee Fact Sheet</a>	22-1319
Rose Point Embayment Restoration	MSFEG	418,375.00	81,625.00	\$500,000.00	<a href="#">Rose Point Fact Sheet</a>	22-1100
Crabapple/Carpenter Creek Estuary Protection	GPC	482,000.00	1,500,000.00	\$1,982,000.00	<a href="#">Crabapple Carpenter Fact Sheet</a>	22-1131
Washington Conservation Corps Crew for Riparian Restoration	Kitsap Conservation District	200,000.00	30,000.00	\$230,000.00	<a href="#">WCC Riparian Restoration Factsheet</a>	22-1111
Finn Creek Estuary Restoration Project	Wild Fish Conservancy	453,000.00	97,000.00	\$550,000.00	<a href="#">Finn Creek Fact Sheet</a>	22-1098
Skunk Bay Armor Removal	MSFEG	42,500.00	7,500.00	\$50,000.00	<a href="#">Skunk Bay Armor Removal Factsheet</a>	22-1202
		<b>\$4,429,349.23</b>	<b>\$4,604,464.77</b>	<b>\$9,033,814.00</b>		

**Criteria for Scoring** *(Criteria are meant to be a guide for scoring, not finite)*

**Project implements a recovery strategy and/or on the Planned Project Forecast List 2021/2022**

- If yes, then rank
- If no, then discuss eligibility

**Scope and cost/budget of project (10 points)**

- Is scope of project appropriate? Could the project be phased?
- Is the budget consistent with expected benefits and with projects of similar scale?

**Benefits to fish (20 points)**

- Restoration project addresses high priority habitat, watershed processes, or nearshore processes that will have a demonstrable effect on salmon productivity
- Restoration and/or acquisition project targets high priority watershed (refugia with source populations and relatively high abundance and productivity)
- Acquisition project targets high habitat function, low site disturbance, and intact processes and/or acquisition project is necessary to carryout high priority restoration project
- Tier 1 projects per Nearshore integration and synthesis tool, Key Peninsula-Gig harbor-Islands Nearshore Prioritization, South Sound Coastal Catchments Analysis Project (CCAP)

**Certainty of success (15 points)**

- Experience of sponsor in implementing similar projects
- Restoration approach is well established with predictable outcomes
- Restoration project restores ecosystem processes versus simply making structural modifications
- Stewardship/maintenance for restoration and/or acquisition projects
  - Stewardship plan? Actions self-sustaining or low maintenance? Funds for monitoring/stewardship?
- Benefits realized immediately versus long term and are independent of other restoration/acquisition projects
- Benefits of acquisition not dependent on future restoration actions



# Planned Project Forecast List

## Frequently Asked Questions

January 31, 2022

**Q) What is the purpose of the Planned Project Forecast List?**

*A) The purpose of the Planned Project Forecast List is to improve communication and transparency with the legislature and the Office of Financial Management, and to increase support and funding from the legislature for salmon recovery.*

**Q) What are the requirements of the Planned Project Forecast List?**

*A) A detailed list of requirements are outlined in each Lead Entities scope of work (appendix A); The scope of work can be accessed in PRISM.*

**Q) When is the Planned Project Forecast List due?**

*A) The Planned Project Forecast List is due June 1, 2022.*

**Q) Which grant rounds will be included in the Planned Project Forecast List**

*A) Grants rounds for 2023 and 2024.*

**Q) Is the Planned Project Forecast List a “need” exercise?**

*A) Yes, in part. The Planned Project Forecast List identifies priority projects that can reasonably be completed by sponsors during the award period (e.g., 2023 and 2024 award periods).*

**Q) What should the internal vetting process look like?**

*A) The process should be defined by each Lead Entity and what works best for them. We recommend that Lead Entities submit Planned Project Forecast List for the 2023 and 2024 grant rounds that fit with the Lead Entities and sponsors’ capacity.*

**Q) Should we share the Planned Project Forecast List with our committees?**

*A) Yes. The committees should be aware; in case a legislator asks about project identified on the Planned Project Forecast List. The Planned Project Forecast List may include projects that have already been vetted (i.e. alternate projects) and projects that are planned for the 2023 and 2024 grant rounds.*

**Q) Are landowner acknowledgement forms required for projects identified on the Planned Project Forecast List?**

*A) No. Landowner acknowledgement forms are recommended, but not required. \*\*The landowner acknowledgement form will be required as part of the grant application.*

**Q) How will the Planned Project Forecast List be communicated to the legislature?**

*A) The list will be presented as “planned” projects, with the understanding that not all projects will move forward for funding. Given the structure of the program, as compared to other RCO programs, the proposed list is a projection outside the normal annual grant round process.*

**Q) How can we most efficiently ensure projects on a PPFL address/align with the recovery plans?**

*A) It depends on the LE and how they work with their sponsors. The expectation is that all projects submitted for SRFB funding are aligned with the recovery plans.*